

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 08 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

EDWIN IVAN AMAYA ORDONEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71973

Agency No. A70-688-523

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 26, 2008\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, KLEINFELD, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Edwin Ivan Amaya Ordonez, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his applications for withholding of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal and relief under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence and will uphold the IJ’s decision unless the evidence compels a contrary conclusion. *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481, 483-84 (1992). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ’s denial of withholding of removal and CAT relief because Amaya Ordonez did not demonstrate that it is more likely than not that he would be subject to persecution on account of an enumerated ground, *see Al-Harbi v. INS*, 242 F.3d 882, 888 (9th Cir. 2001), or that it is more likely than not that he would be tortured if he returned to El Salvador, *see Nuru v. Gonzales*, 404 F.3d 1207, 1216 (9th Cir. 2005).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**